Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/517,778	IKEZONO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christine Foster	1641
All Participants: Status of Application: Pending		
(1) Christine Foster.	(3)	
(2) Walter Schlapkohl.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 19 August 2010	Time: 9:10 AM	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: Proposed amendments were previously faxed to the examiner on August 10, 2010.		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1-2, 5, and 7 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Subsequent to the interview of August 6, Applicant's representative faxed proposed claim amendments to the examiner. After conferring with the examiner's supervisor in a patentability conference, the Office proposed additional minor changes to place the application in better condition for allowance. Mr. Schlapkohl indicated in a subsequent conversation on August 25 that these changes were acceptable to Applicant. All of these changes are detailed in Applicant's amendment filed on 8/25/10.		
Part III.		
☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
/Christine Foster/ Examiner, Art Unit 1641 (A	.pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)